## Quantum Physics – Some part A type revision questions, mostly on the Schrödinger equation (with solutions)

A1. Let  $\psi(x) = A(x-a)(x-b)$  the wavefunction of a particle which is confined to move freely in the one-dimensional interval  $-2 \le x \le 2$  (in other words, the potential is V = 0 for  $-2 \le x \le 2$  and  $V = \infty$  for x > 2 and x < -2). Find a, b and A.

**S1.** The wavefunction must vanish at the boundary of the interval, i.e. we must impose  $\psi(2) = \psi(-2) = 0$ . Hence a = 2 and b = -2. Finally A is obtained from imposing  $1 = \int_{-2}^{2} \psi(x) |^{2}$ , i.e. we must require that

$$|A|^2 \int_{-2}^{2} (x-2)^2 (x+2)^2 = 1$$
.

This gives (up to an irrelevant, overall phase)  $A = (15/512)^{1/2}$ .

**A2.** Consider a particle which can move in one dimension between  $-\infty$  and  $+\infty$ . Explain why we need  $\psi(x) \to 0$  as  $x \to \pm \infty$ .

**S2.** The wavefunction must be integrable i.e. we need to be able to impose that  $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\psi(x)|^2 = 1$  which in particular implies that the integral must converge! A necessary condition for the integral to converge is precisely  $\psi(x) \to 0$  as  $x \to \pm \infty$ .

A3. A single slit of length L is irradiated with light of wavelength  $\lambda$ . Explain under which conditions we observe diffraction.

**S3.** We need the wavelength to be comparable to the size of the slit, i.e.  $\lambda \sim L$ .

A4. Explain why the classical concept of "trajectory" of a particle does not make sense at the quantum level.

**S4.** Because of Heisenberg's uncertainty principle, we cannot measure to infinite accuracy the position and momentum of a particle at the same time. Hence we cannot define its trajectory! (knowing the trajectory of a particle precisely means knowing position and momentum of the particle at any time).

A5. Write down the Schrödinger equation for a single particle confined to move freely in the line interval  $-L \le x \le L$  (in other words, the potential is V = 0 for  $-L \le x \le L$  and  $V = \infty$  for x > L and x < -L).

**S5**.

$$-rac{\hbar^2}{2m}rac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\psi(x,t) = i\hbarrac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi(x,t) \; ,$$

with the boundary condition  $\psi(L,t) = \psi(-L,t) = 0$ , and furthermore  $\psi(x,t) = 0$  for x < -L or x > L. Remember to write down the boundary conditions, which are part of the equation itself!

A6. Write down a one-dimensional plane wave as a function of p and E. What are the relations of p and E to  $\omega$  and k?

**S6.**  $\psi_{\text{free}}(x,t) = e^{\frac{i(px-Et)}{\hbar}}$ . The relations are  $p = \hbar k$  and  $E = \hbar \omega$  are the two (de Broglie) relations. In terms of  $\omega$  and k the plane wave has the form (familiar from optics)  $e^{i(kx-\omega t)}$ . Also remember that  $T = 2\pi/\omega$  and  $k = 2\pi/\lambda$ .

A7. Check that the plane wave is a solution to the Schrödinger equation for a free non-relativistic particle.

**S7.** You can indeed very easily check that

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\psi_{\rm free}(x,t) = i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi_{\rm free}(x,t) ,$$

with  $\psi_{\text{free}}(x,t) = e^{\frac{i(px-Et)}{\hbar}}$ . What you find is  $(E - p^2/(2m))\psi_{\text{free}}(x,t) = 0$ , which is indeed satisfied because for a free non-relativistic particle  $E(p) = p^2/(2m)$ .

## A8. Define what are the stationary states for the Schrödinger equation.

S8. These are the solutions to the time-independent Schrödinger equation

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\psi(x) = E\psi(x)$$

where E is a parameter with the dimensions of an energy. The importance of stationary states is that if  $\psi(x)$  is a stationary state, we can write at once a solution to the time-dependent Schrödinger equation, as

$$\psi(x,t) = e^{-\frac{iEt}{\hbar}}\psi(x) .$$

This represents a state with a definite energy, equal to E. Typically, the energies are discrete and we call  $E_n$  the discrete allowed values for the energies, and  $\psi^{(n)}$  the corresponding stationary states which solve the time-independent Schrödinger equation with  $E = E_n$ , i.e.

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\psi^{(n)}(x) = E_n\psi^{(n)}(x)$$

Note that a linear combination of stationary states, i.e.  $\sum_{n} c_n \psi^{(n)}(x)$  is not a stationary state (it does not have a definite energy).

**A9.** Write down the time evolution of  $\sum_{n} c_n \psi^{(n)}(x)$ .

**S9.** It is given by  $\sum_{n} c_n e^{-\frac{iE_n t}{\hbar}} \psi^{(n)}(x)$ .

A10. Prove the very important fact that  $\sum_{n} c_n e^{-\frac{iE_n t}{\hbar}} \psi^{(n)}(x)$  IS a solution to the time-dependent Schrödinger equation.

**S10.** You do not need a calculation to show this, if you make the following considerations. 1. Each term in the sum  $e^{-\frac{iE_nt}{\hbar}}\psi^{(n)}(x)$  is a solution to the time-dependent Schrödinger equation. 2. The Schrödinger equation is linear and homogeneous. Hence, any linear combination of solutions is another solution.

Of course you can also easily check directly that  $\sum_{n} c_{n} e^{-\frac{iE_{n}t}{\hbar}} \psi^{(n)}(x)$  satisfies the timedependent Schrödinger equation (and it is a good exercise to convince yourself that this is indeed the case).

A11. For a particle on a segment (i.e. the potential is zero on the segment and infinity outside), consider a wavefunction of the form  $\psi(x) = \sum_n c_n e^{-\frac{iE_n t}{\hbar}} \psi^{(n)}(x)$ , which we assume to be normalised.  $\psi^{(n)}$  are the (normalised) stationary states, i.e.  $\psi^{(n)}(x) = \sqrt{2/L} \sin(\pi n x/L)$ , with n = 1, 2, ...

a. What condition do the coefficients  $c_n$  need to satisfy in order for the wavefunction to be normalised?

b. What is the probability of measuring a certain value  $E_k$  of the energy?

c. What is the average value of the energy in this state?

## S11.

a. We need  $1 = \sum_{n} |c_n|^2$ . You arrive at this result by imposing  $1 = \int_0^L dx |\psi(x)|^2$  and using  $\int_0^L dx (\psi^{(n)})^* \psi^{(m)} = \delta^{mn}$ .

b. The probability of measuring  $E_k$  among the possible values if  $|c_k|^2$ .

c. It is

$$\langle E \rangle = \sum_{n} |c_n|^2 E_n$$

(this is just the average but weighted with the probabilities!)

A12. Write down the normalisation condition for the wavefunction who can move in the one dimensional interval  $a \le x \le b$ .

**S12.**  $\int_{a}^{b} dx \, |\psi|^{2} = 1$ .

A13. State Heisenberg's uncertainty principle for a particle which can move in one dimension.

**S13.** The uncertainty for a measurement performed at the same time on the position and the momentum of a particle must satisfy the bound

$$\Delta x \Delta p \ge \hbar/2$$

So if we know x very precisely, then the uncertainty on p,  $\Delta p$ , is very large; and viceversa.

A.14 Find the Broglie wavelength of an electron of energy E = 10 eV. Can one use a non-relativistic approximation?

**S14.** The mass of the electron is  $m_e \sim 0.5 \text{ MeV}/c^2$  and  $E/(m_e c^2) = 10/(0.5 \times 10^6) \ll 1$ , hence we can use a non-relativistic approximation. Then

 $\lambda_{\rm de \ Broglie} = \frac{h}{p} = \frac{2\pi\hbar c}{pc} = \frac{2\pi\hbar c}{\sqrt{2mEc}} = \frac{2\pi\hbar c}{\sqrt{2mc^2E}} \sim \frac{2\pi \times 197}{\sqrt{2 \times 0.5 \times 10 \times 10^{-6}}} \text{fermi} \sim 3.9110^{-10} \text{m},$ 

where we have used  $E = p^2/(2m)$  and  $\hbar c \sim 197 \text{MeV} \cdot \text{fermi} (1 \text{ fermi} = 10^{-15} \text{m}).$